

**TOWN OF NEWBURY  
SELECT BOARD**

**MINUTES**

**DATE:** September 28, 2021

**PRESENT:** **Select Board:** Chairwoman Alicia Greco; Vice Chair JR Colby; Clerk Geof Walker;  
Gerry Heavey

**Staff:**

Tracy Blais, Town Administrator  
Julie O'Brien, Executive Administrator  
Martha Taylor, Town Planner  
John Lucey, Police Chief  
Doug Janvrin, Fire Chief  
James Sarette, DPW Director  
Samantha Holt, Conservation Agent  
Lisa Mead, Town Counsel

**Speakers:**

John Colantonio, Developer  
Mike Laham , Morin Cameron (participated via Zoom)

**Call to Order:**

At 6:30 p.m. Chairwoman Alicia Greco called the meeting to order with a salute to the flag

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to open the meeting, seconded JR Colby , and voted unanimously

Discussion: None

**Oral Communications From Citizens**

To receive oral communications from members of the public. Members of the public may address the Select Board for up to 3 minutes; longer with the permission of the Chairperson. The Select Board will not engage in discussion on topics raised during public comment but may choose to add the topic to a future agenda. This agenda segment will be limited to 15 minutes unless extended at the discretion of the Chairperson

None

**Board and Committee Reports:**

Report of Chairwoman Greco:

**Grants, Gifts & Donations**

(Chapter 44: Section 53A Grants and gifts; acceptance and expenditure)

Ginny Nichols - \$38.00 donation to COA

**Motion:** JR Colby moved to accept the donation seconded G. Walker, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

Alan & Mary Fisk - \$4.00 donation to COA

**Motion:** JR Colby moved to accept the donation seconded G. Walker, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

Janice Martel - \$16.00 donation to COA

**Motion:** JR Colby moved to accept the donation seconded G. Walker, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

June Brogan - \$40.00 donation to COA

**Motion:** JR Colby moved to accept the donation seconded G. Walker, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

Anonymous donation - \$152.00 to COA

**Motion:** JR Colby moved to accept the donation seconded G. Walker, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

**Public Hearings:** None

**New Business:**

Send a thank you letter to Howard Traister for his many years of service on the ZBA

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to send a thank you letter seconded JR Colby, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** Discussion ensued

Approve ZBA Associate member appointment – Michelle Weidler

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to accept the appointment seconded JR Colby, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** A. Greco highlighted points from Ms. Weidler's request letter

Approve One Day Liquor License – Concert, Byfield Community Arts Center (Event Cancelled)

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to withdraw the One Day Liquor License request seconded G. Heavey, and voted unanimously

Approve One Day Liquor License – Wedding, Spencer Pierce Little Farm

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to approve the one-day liquor license seconded G. Heavey, three votes in favor, JR Colby recused

**Discussion:** None

**Approve Request for Public Property Use – Jeanne Greiger Crisis Center**

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to approve the public property use request seconded JR Colby, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** Discussion ensued

**Approve the 2020 Re- Precincting Plan for the Town of Newbury**

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to approve the 2020 re-precincting plan for the Town of Newbury seconded JR Colby, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

**Old Business:**

**Larkin Road Bridge Discussion:**

A.Greco reviewed the purpose of the discussion regarding Larkin Road and provided a brief overview of what would be covered and the order in which would be discussed

A.Greco provided an outline of the history including:

- Damage during the 2006 Mother's Day storm
- Culvert failed on September 7, 2014
- DPW blocked vehicular access to culvert with jersey barriers and road close signs
- Intention at that time to replace culvert immediately
- DPW reached out to local contractor for cost estimate to replace culvert
- Cost estimated to be \$250,000 at that time
- No funding available for design and engineering in the operating budget at that time
- DPW installed dead end sign to keep thru traffic from accessing as insufficient room to turnaround
- December 2015 a developer Livingston, proposed residential development in Georgetown and was only accessible from Larkin Road offered to replace the culvert for foot/bike traffic only
- Public Safety Officials advocated for vehicular traffic access due to emergency response concerns
- March of 2016 Developer met with Select Board to present new culvert plan designed for vehicular traffic
- Culvert and railings to be built to Mass DOT specifications
- Developer proposed to replace the culvert at their cost and the Town was to pave
- Select Board voted to approve
- August 31, 2016 the Newbury Conservation Commissions issued an order of conditions
- November 2018, Georgetown Planning Board granted an OSRD site plan approval for proposed development
- Approval includes conditions requiring that the culvert be complete before the first occupancy permit be issued
- March 2019 Newbury Conservation Committee granted a three-year extension for the order of conditions
- March 2021 project sold to a new developer
- March 6, 2021 pre-construction meeting held on the site

Police Chief, John Lucey spoke regarding the project including:

- Public safety perspective
- Test results of a test he did regarding emergency response times from intersection Larkin Road and Central Street traveling down Larkin Road to the bridge
- 127% increase in response time if bridge remains closed
- Any type of obstruction, such as a tree or wire down hinders egress to all points West of the obstruction until obstacle cleared. If there is a medical concern there is no other way to get there
- What "ifs" are important in the emergency world situation
- Police and Fire Chiefs in Georgetown agree with these concerns

Fire Chief, Doug Janvrin spoke regarding public safety concerns including:

- Reiterated time is essential in emergency situations
- Long dead-end road results in the stacking of fire apparatus
- If another way in apparatus come another way through
- If stacked up we can't get additional equipment over the hose
- Georgetown Fire Chief agrees to open the road
- Georgetown water hydrants not accessible if closed

A.Greco spoke regarding approval of previous culvert on the project. Asked James Sarette, Newbury DPW Director to have a peer review of culvert conducted

J. Sarette spoke regarding Bayside Engineering review of plans. Bayside sent a few comments and concerns to be passed on to the Developer. Also, will need to go to Mass DOT for approval. Still waiting to hear back from Mass DOT. Confirmed was the Mass DOT structural team doing the reviewing

G. Heavey asked what concerns Mr. Sarette had

J. Sarette responded the concerns were regarding ensuring the rails were Mass Dot crash tested. Also, that rails extend out past the culvert

A.Greco reiterated that we hired an outside third-party reviewer, had hoped the results would have been available in time for meeting and reassured the road will remain closed until the Town and Mass DOT are satisfied

A.Greco acknowledge there are concerns regarding increased traffic going up and down the road. Asked if Town Planner could discuss traffic mitigations that she may have thought of

M. Taylor differed to Chief Lucey

Chief Lucey spoke on the topic. Mentioned the acceptable speed limit is currently 30 miles per hour. The Chief stated he recommends changing to a 25 mile an hour zone with enforcement from the police department. Mentioned Boxford center as an example of abiding by the 25 mile an hour posted speed

A.Greco mentioned work still needs to be done in the right of way. Documents that need to be finalized and executed

Lisa Mead Town Counsel, spoke to this matter

Utilities provided by Georgetown, need permission from Newbury Select Board to do work. Will need an inter municipal agreement between Georgetown and Newbury that will address construction, long term maintenance, repaving, hours of access, who needs to go to approve the plans to install the utilities, easement from the Board to do utilities and then right of access to work on the Town section of the bridge

Attorney Mead provided details of the process

G. Walker spoke regarding his experience with wetlands and marsh. Stated culverts take time to do correctly and the was Town going the extra mile to do the best job possible

A.Greco asked if the Developer's attorney was available to speak

John Colantoni Developer, confirmed his attorney was not in attendance

A.Greco asked for a status of the legal documents she is responsible for and status for any approvals needed from the Town

John Colantoni confirmed Nancy McKean was his attorney and working with Town Counsel  
Spoke regarding history specifically that the easements are not a new topic  
Easement information may be in front of the Board as soon as October  
Bill Manual wetlands expert, Ray Frasier engineer and owns site company, Peter Termini who put sale together with original land owner and developer all in attendance  
Mike Laham engineer with Morin Cameron is participating via Zoom  
Bill a partner of Mr. Colantoni is also present

Mr. Colantoni confirmed everything has been filed with Mass DOT. Mass DOT came back with approval of the weight but had several questions. Shay Concrete, the company that will make the culvert, received the diagram which was shared with Mass DOT and Bayside Engineering. Bayside Engineering had questions and Mass DOT had question and have been answer by Mike, the engineer and sent back to Mass DOT. Now waiting to hear back from Mass DOT.

US Army Corps of Engineers everything filed with them. Army Corp has indicated no later than the end of October.

Filed everything that we can file. Have answered all questions from parties

Developer stated their plan would be to have the culvert replaced this calendar year. Does not mean the road would open this year but the culvert would be replaced

Spoke regarding neighbors reaching out to him and how he tries his best to answer their questions

Mentioned he was not aware of this level of concern from the neighbors when he started the project

Stated respects neighbor's concerns but follows the recommendation of public safety as it comes first

Culvert has to have bridge open to enable issue of occupancy permit for the ten houses. Stated a whole new approval process to have the condition removed if the bridge were to remain closed. Someone would have to pay for that approval process as we do not have budgeted as all the approvals were in place

Reiterated he and his team are happy to answer any questions the Board may have

A. Greco asked regarding the peer review from Bayside Engineering and if developers' team had responded

Mr. Colantoni replied many of Bayside's questions were similar to Mass DOT. Are waiting to hear back from Mass DOT. Feel once they hear back from Mass DOT will answer all of Bayside's questions

M. Laham, engineer with Morin Cameron, confirmed he will write up a formal response letter which will accompany a supplemental instruction plan that has been prepared for Mass DOT. Contains a little bit more information and detail.

G. Walker thanked John for his clarity and detail

G. Heavey, asked about the ten houses being built in Georgetown. Inquired if the houses can be built if bridge is closed?

J. Colantoni, replied excellent question. Utilities play a big role for the ten houses. If bridge remains closed, and not replacing the culvert, would have to dead end the water main which is whole different process regarding how the utilities would come across.

G. Heavey, stated but you could still do it

J. Colantoni responded, with all that said, my answer would be no. As would require another approval process and additional money for engineering. It is much more than just stating the bridge is not open so we will bring the utilities another way

Justin Londergan, 38 Larkin Road, thanked the Board for having him and stated he respects the Public Officials. Justin expressed he has a lot of comments and concerns.

He stated had reviewed the permitting process and provided documents of his findings

Stated that Newbury Planning and Select Board approved 2015 plan which was not the final plan approved by Georgetown revised in 2018. Difference has to do with the movement of electricity and water in the culvert. 2018 plan only went to Newbury Concom. Never went to Planning or Select Board. Stated feels change needs to come to Planning Board and Select Board for a separate review

- Feels process short cuts
- Warning letter to developer from Army Corps of engineers for failure to follow proper permitting
- What other procedural shortcuts have been taken?
- Why Orchard Street getting total analysis and not Larkin?
- Ask that Ms. Mead and Brian Winner review docs he has provided
- Asked if there are any dead ends in Newbury
- If critical safety issue why has culvert not been replaced?
- Feel bridge being open a greater risk
- Never have seen an officer on Larkin patrolling for speed
- Asked for someone to site an incident in the last twelve years for emergency issues due to culvert closure
- Concerns of safety due to road being narrow
- Stated 325 citizens opposed the opening
- Asked to keep culvert closed stated Georgetown will fold
- Mentioned a gate option

David Malda, 61 Larkin Road, mentioned an email he sent to A. Greco. Stated felt blindsided that developer and others were allowed to bring their attorneys in.

Stopped at fire station regarding emergency response. Was told emergency response was in cooperation with Georgetown. But if chest pains for example Central Street would be the first responding, if lesser than Georgetown. Stated entire left side of road before and after the culvert in Newbury is in, Newbury. Only right side in Georgetown. For twelve years there has been no way to access these people in an emergency. Stated suddenly big issue because Georgetown needs it. Provided information regarding the Emergency vehicle and typical fire truck weights. Provided information regarding the difference between a culvert and bridge

Feels culvert needs to be bigger or become a bridge

Talked about his quality of life. Been a resident of Larkin Road twenty years. Has lived there when open and closed. Not ideal for kids on the street. Many families bought only when road is closed

No one living on Larkin spoke in favor of opening

Mentioned understanding the fine line the Select Board walks. When sees the overwhelming response of residents why is Board taking side of developer

Mentioned his third time in front of Board. Understands is a process. Mentioned asking for alternative solution discussion

Connor Beaumont, 65 Larkin, discussed permitting process. Re-iterated what Mr. Lundergan had discussed regarding 2015 and 2018 plans. Felt developer should have done his due diligence before got to work. Requested goes back to Newbury Planning Board for review and a revote by the Select Board

A.Greco asked M. Taylor, Planning Director, regarding the vote the residents are referring to that the Boards took in 2015

M. Taylor responded the only vote she was aware of was in 2016

J. Londergan clarified the vote by the Select Board was made on March 8, 2016

A.Greco asked if there was confusion regarding what the Select Board voted on what they thought we voted on

M.Taylor replied I cannot answer that

A.Greco stated we voted on the culvert not the project

Attorney Mead addressed A. Greco, the Board did not have standing to vote on the project. The Board voted on to allow work on the culvert. Expressed her understanding was this has never gone to the Planning Board as they do not have jurisdiction

A.Greco agreed

Jack Rybicki, 37 Larkin Road, sated what Select Board voted for in 2016 was to support the plan. This is not an approval. Only the culvert plan was voted on. Stated the plan that was approved by Georgetown included a second curb cut. Discussed 2018 plan providing a second means of egress to a parking lot. His belief is the second means of egress is a Planning Board and Concom issue, as crosses road side wetlands. Believes slope is part of planning board purview in regards to curb cuts. Stated Larkin road bridge was flooded federal guidelines different, thinks should revisit and look at what we have not addressed and need to address. Feels should wait for Army Corps of Engineers before we approve anything

Bob Ross, 48 Central Street, asked about response timing. Asked Chief Lucey to confirm will lose a minute and a half

Chief Lucey agreed

Discussed having a reciprocal agreement with Georgetown

Chief Lucey reiterated we have to live in the "what if's"

B.Ross feels another what if, more traffic, emergency vehicles can't go as fast, as narrow road, where will you pull over. Asked what is estimated traffic increase?

Chief Lucey iterated ownness would be on his department to set the tone of speed limit change to 25 miles per hour

B.Ross asked has there been a study done? What will the effect of traffic be? Regardless of the posted speed what realistically will it be? What's the trade off of hypothetical of response time and a kid getting hit? A study should be done regarding the Increase of traffic and likelihood of speed.

Commented speed should be added into the timing equation in regards to emergency response. Relayed a story regarding his own personal experience with contractors. Asked the question is there a contingency when something has not been budgeted? Asked if the price of culvert and price of re-permitting is equal. Expressed his opinion the price for permitting would be substantially less. Noted no one is complaining about the development. Asked if a survey done regarding how much traffic the development will add to Larkin Road. Mentioned Drinking water source 100 yards down from a road way. Increased traffic will result in increased chemicals all of which will go into that waterway

A.Greco passed out a letter from the Georgetown Select Board to the Board members

Bernadette , 79 Larkin Road, Georgetown side, spoke regarding safety issues specifically speed concerns. Her concern is Army Corps of Engineers not weighing in. Will current culvert will be able to handle the high flood flow? Right size structure important. Assumes this will be taken in to account by Army Corps and Mass DOT.

A.Greco confirmed will be safe

G. Heavey asked a question of Bernadette if culvert over Wheeler Brook and Parker River

Bernadette answered, Wheeler Brook

Katherine Londergan, 38 Larkin Road, mentioned the five-year road moratorium and read a statement from Meg Rogers, 75 Larkin Road, direct abutter to the bridge. The statement discussed her concerns regarding the opening of the bridge and safety

Joan Maida, 61 Larkin Road, discussed the calling of emergency vehicles for her father who lived at Caldwell Farm. Stated took ambulance at least 15 minutes to get to his house. Mentioned frustration with the mention of reference to a minute and a half response time

A.Greco clarified the amount of time the road has been closed

Frank, 65 Larkin, 29 years on road gave a historical perspective. At one time culvert had a 5-ton limit no fire apparatus accessing. Now no weight limit on Parker river bridge. Discussed at one time used as cut through for industrial park, in Georgetown including trucks. Does not have a problem with the new development. Mentioned Waze and Google maps will direct people to use road. Larkin is now paved, with access to highway, will be main thorough fare. Feels road cannot handle. Commented on public safety response times. Agrees seconds are hours. Asked to consider that Emergency Vehicles are not always in the same spot

Dave Barlow, 14 Larkin Road, stated prudent to consider local traffic only to go along with 25 miles per hour. Spoke of an incident recently of a car speeding by in neighborhood. Has there been a number as to what is expected traffic increase? Why was this not a concern in the past for emergency concerns having the bridge closed all these years?



A.Greco answered the question. Went back to the original timeline. Estimate originally was \$250,000, to repair. Town Meeting has to spend the money. Town meeting would have to approve to spend the money to replace the culvert. Before the Spring meeting a developer came to the Town and stated willing to pay for the culvert. Which the Select Board voted yes. Developer had permitting in hand and due to series of unfortunate events, project was stalled, permitting still remained, left Town in a precarious position, continuous stalling and a new gentleman picked up and that is where we are today

Lisa Mead confirmed nothing has been approved, you supported the bridge would be reopened

Question in the audience was asked if can only hold Town Meeting in the Spring

A.Greco answer a Special Town Meeting can be called

J. Rybicki spoke regarding the small bridge program in relation to Larkin Road

Cheryl Floyd Parish Road in Georgetown, if developer not building would we be fixing the bridge?

A.Greco stated if no opportunity for a developer to fix it would have gone to Town Meeting to vote on the repair

C.Floyd asked when original developer, Livingston, pulled out of the deal

J. Colantoni stated his company closed in March/April 2021

C.Floyd stated from 2015 to 2021 it was under the assumption someone would pay for the bridge. Also mentioned wildlife endangerment and if any of that was taken into consideration

Lisa Mead spoke to the question. The order of conditions has to be taken into consideration when the order of conditions is issued. The order of conditions wasn't appealed, it was extended and it wasn't appealed. Under purview of Conservation Commission. All approved, not appealed and not extended

C.Floyd would prefer the road to remain closed. Traffic and speed concern her

Susan Campbell 79 Larkin Road, asked how long are the permits good for

L. Mead replied depends on the permit. The Order of Conditions expires August 31, 2022. All permits were extended over COVID

B.Ross tried to clarify the questions why road was not opened regardless of the developer

A.Greco read the Georgetown Select Board letter provided to the Town of Newbury about Larkin Parish Road, into record

D.Maida asked again regarding capacity. Stated persons at Fire Station stated a \$250,000 culvert is not going to handle a ladder truck.

D. Janvrin stated if built properly, the ladder truck would be able to go over safely

J.Sarette H2O load will handle any fire truck (new culvert)

J. Colantoni stated he walked into a situation that he did not expect in the beginning or now. Mentioned he and his team were asked to come to this meeting. Stated we are here as we were asked to come to answer

questions. We respect what the neighbors/audience are saying. When you hire a fire and police chief of two communities, that state is a public safety issue, how do we not listen to the public safety officials? Business wise our best interest to keep closed but I will not do that until public safety states not a public safety issue. We are not taking any short cuts. Stated there seems to be a misunderstanding, in relation to US Army Corp . His misunderstanding was he thought this was a self-verification avenue. As things changed found out was not, and went the route the Army Corps needed. In his experience need to have project approved prior to going to Mass DOT and Army Corp. Everything will be done correctly, inspected 100% and no short cuts will be taken. Clarified when said we do not have in the budget to make changes what was meant was it is huge money to replace culvert and open bridge and do correctly. Mentioned some of the comments Mass DOT has made will cost them additional money. If there is a change to the whole permitting, it will take not only a lot of money but a lot of time also.

Resident mentioned she had previously spoken about Bylaws and the need for the road to be 24 feet and the response was it was grandfathered in. However, if change from a dirt road to a paved road, no longer true and Newbury will be liable for any accident on Larkin Road.

L. Mead spoke regarding the definition of street

J. Londergan spoke of safety issues and time brought up by public safety. Stated have not addressed risk tradeoffs with risks of people who live on the street if opened. Feels made your minds up and have not listened to us

Bob McKenna Parish Road Georgetown, spoke regarding time and emergency vehicles. Narrow road, going to be a speedway and accident waiting to happen

A.Greco opened up to the Board for questions

G. Heavey asked what the yearly costs will be to maintain

J. Sarette initially not much as is new. Mass DOT will inspect twice a year

A.Greco asked what the normal wear and tear is

J. Sarette Fifty years. May have to paint railings, etc. but structure itself should last fifty years

G. Heavey how does the order of conditions, as were issued to prior developers, effect the new developer

L. Mead replied step into their shoes and required to abide by the plans unless they are to be modified

G. Heavey inquired ball park what is purchase price of one of these houses

J.Colantoni answered perhaps \$800,000

G. Heavey mentioned she is concerned with the width of the road. Had thought standard road had to be twenty feet

J.Sarette stated currently the width of culvert is seventeen feet

G. Heavey stated a standard road should be twenty feet

J. Sarette confirmed the rest of the road is not twenty feet

A.Greco asked are there other roads in community that are narrow

J.Sarette confirmed yes

G.Walker commented on Georgetown's letter which requests restrictions on road

JR Colby stated he supports reopening the bridge. Stated Public safety issues are important to him

A.Greco permitting issue aside, stated would like to look at mitigation. Speed, kids playing, etc.  
Asked Chief if perhaps he could do some traffic mitigation now

L. Mead outlined the next steps:

- List of items to engage with Merrimack Valley Planning Commission
- Need report from Army Corp Engineers and Mass DOT
- Bayside's report, the changes and the response to that

Resident asked why gate not being considered?

A.Greco stated we are not there yet

K. Londergan spoke of situation in Wilmington and North Reading, have a break away gate. Cost was \$15,000, lasts three to five years

A.Greco mentioned the order of conditions went through the appeal process twice and no one in the neighborhood spoke against it

Someone in audience commented did not know about it

Susan Campbell stated did not receive abutters notifications

Samantha Holt Conservation Agent, provided newspaper clipping for legal ad, August 2016, certified mail returned receipts, and stated that agenda postings are public on the Town website

A.Greco in reference to the certified return receipts asked is there someone from 61, 62, 63, 64, 79 Larkin Road in attendance? These receipts were in reference to the Order of Conditions

Frank at 65 Larkin Road spoke that he remembers receiving a letter regarding the development

A.Greco clarified the Town of Newbury had nothing to do with the development. Anything the Town of Newbury sent out is pertaining to the culvert

M. Taylor will engage with Merrimack Valley Planning Commission

A.Greco stated nothing will happen with the road until comes before Select Board to sign. Nothing will happen on this road likely until next year

J. Colantoni stated when Mass DOT and Army Corps of Engineers come back and provide the information that we need to provide to you, does not think fair to him or neighbors to wait until 2022. Feels a solution, with due diligence from the Board, is possible in 30 to 60 days. Does not want to be held up for months for the same conversation

A.Greco stated James is waiting for comments from you. And as Attorney Mead has outlined we have some due diligence to do

J. Rybicki stated point of order which he was asked to explain by JR Colby how that applied

G.Walker stated Merrimack Valley Planning Commission will do a thorough job and will provide good information

Bob Ross commented some of us did not live here in 2016

J. Colantoni commented that he considers the easements a separate subject. Does not want to slow down that process. Does not want to wait on approval from Mass DOT and Army Corps of Engineers to move the easements forward

L. Mead responded that would be up to the Chair and the Board

J. Colantoni replied that is his question. Does the Board agree the easements are a separate subject as either way they are needed

A.Greco confirmed she understands. She asked the Board if they understood

J. Colantoni offered an explanation

A.Greco confirmed not just one permit

**Correspondence:**

Letter from Georgetown Select Board

**Meeting Updates:**

G. Heavey inquired when Select Board will discuss COA space assessment topic

A.Greco replied hopes to discuss at the next Select Board meeting

G. Heavey inquired when Plum Island emergency route will be addressed

A.Greco asked Lisa to comment on

L. Mead Town Counsel, provided update regarding meeting with Police Chief, Engineer, Surveyors and Mr.Hibbard

Discussion ensued

**Review of Meeting Minutes:**

**Draft Meeting Minutes September 14, 2021**

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to approve the meeting minutes seconded G. Heavey, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** A. Greco asked J. O'Brien to make a correction on page six of the minutes, fourth line. Change "not" to "now"

**Warrants:**

PRVW2205

VW2207

**Motion:** G. Walker moved to sign the warrants seconded JR Colby, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

**Executive Session:**

None

**Adjourn**

**Motion:** At 9:00 p.m. G. Walker moved to adjourn, seconded JR Colby, and voted unanimously

**Discussion:** None

Respectfully submitted,  
Julie O'Brien  
Executive Administrator